

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

am ic Valon No	THENGDALL	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ALTORALA DOCKLI AO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 744,237	02/23/2001	Benoit Cristol	ajous	State
23338 75	90 05/02/2002			
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & DOUGHERTY			EXAMINER	
1745 JEFFERSO ARLINGTÓN,	ON DAVIS HIGHWAY VA - 22202		BOS, STEVEN J	
			APACANA.	Investment Model
			. 54	
			DATE MAILED 08 02 2002	

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/744,237**

Applicant(s)

00// 77/

Cristol

Examiner

Steven Bos

Art Unit 1754



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
· If the position of the lift NO position of the lift	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 26, 2	2001
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) _ : accepted or b) _ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🕽	X All b) Some* c) None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
	tee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) a)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provision	
a) 15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		5 protect and 00 0.0.0. 33 120 dilator 1211
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4} [_ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
, ,	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) [Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3. X In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/744237

Art Unit: 1754

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is confusing and ungrammatical.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

In claim 1, "(PT; ST; TT)" is indefinite as to what this is to mean or represent.

In claim 1, "the hydrate produced" is awkward and confusing and it appears that --alumina hydrate-- or the like was intended.

In claim 1, "rotating hydrate" is indefinite as to what is considered to be "rotating hydrate".

In claim 1, "characterized in that it comprises" is awkward and confusing and would be clearer if written as --comprising--.

In claim 1a, "once and for all, intended firstly" is awkward and confusing.

Application/Control Number: 09/744237 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

In claim 1a, "to setup a relation R in intensity and in time between the rotating hydrate material passing X1 um and material passing X2 um" is indefinite as to what is considered to be "intensity," ie. "intensity" of what, and how is it related to "time," for example, is it a ratio? It is unclear what "time" is a measurement of, ie. the time of what?

In claim 1a, "the rotating hydrate material" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 1a, "to define trigger thresholds on the value of material passing X1 um" is indefinite as to what this is to mean.

In claim 1a, "the maximum authorized variation interval" is indefinite as to what this is to mean.

In claim 1b, "control of the process itself" is indefinite as to what this is to mean and how it limits the claim.

In claim 1b, "the installation operating period" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 1b, "apart from the daily measurement (M2) of material passing X2 um" is indefinite as to what this is to mean and as to what "material" is or refers to.

In claim 1b, "a regular update of the correlation between the said material passing X2 um and the particle size of the hydrate produced" is indefinite and confusing as to what this is to mean and what the "correlation" is, ie. How are they correlated?

In claim 1b, "triggering of corrective action on the slurry" is indefinite and confusing as to what this is to mean and what is considered to be "corrective action".

Application/Control Number: 09/744237

Art Unit: 1754

In claim 1b, "the measured value of material passing X1 um reaches one of the regularly updated trigger thresholds determined in the previous step" is indefinite as to what "material" is being referred to here and lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s) as to "the regularly updated trigger thresholds" and "the previous step".

In claim 2, "the slurry" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 3, "the slurry" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 3, "the pregnant liquor" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 3, "the first agglomeration tank" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 3, "the first feed tank" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 5, "at pump-off" is indefinite as to what this is or refers to.

In claim 6, "the concentration of pregnant aluminate liquor" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is (703) 308-2537. The examiner is on the increased flexitime program schedule. The FAX No. for After Final amendments is 703-872-9311; for all others it is 703-872-9310.

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754